

*Acta Cryst.* (1973). A29, 579

**Debye–Waller factors for magnesium oxide.** By R. K. GUPTA\* and T. M. HARIDASAN,† *Institut für Theoretische Physik II, Universität Münster, Germany (BRD)*

(Received 23 March 1973; accepted 4 May 1973)

The Debye–Waller factors for magnesium oxide have been calculated and compared with recent experimental results.

The Debye–Waller factors for MgO have been recently measured by Lawrence (1973) from X-ray diffraction. Earlier experimental measurements by Togawa (1965) on powder samples gave quite different values for the Debye–Waller factors. It was therefore decided at this stage to make a theoretical calculation of Debye–Waller factors in an attempt to resolve the paradox between the two sets of experimental data.

The Debye–Waller exponent,  $W_{\kappa}(\mathbf{K})$  for all atoms  $\kappa$  in a cubic crystal may be written as (Buyers & Smith, 1968)

$$W_{\kappa}(\mathbf{K}) = B_{\kappa} \frac{\sin^2 \theta}{\lambda^2}$$

with  $B_{\kappa}$  values independent of the magnitude of the momentum transfer  $\mathbf{K}$ , which may be written as

$$B_{\kappa} = \frac{8\pi^2}{3} \sum_{\mathbf{q}j} \frac{\hbar}{NM_{\kappa}\omega_j(\mathbf{q})} [ |e(\kappa|\mathbf{q}j)|^2 \{ n[\omega_j(\mathbf{q})] + \frac{1}{2} \} ],$$

where  $N$  is the number of unit cells in the crystal,  $n[\omega_j(\mathbf{q})]$  is the population factor

$$[\exp(\hbar\omega_j(\mathbf{q})/K_B T) - 1]^{-1},$$

$e(\kappa|\mathbf{q}j)$  is the eigenvector of  $\kappa$ th ion and  $\omega_j(\mathbf{q})$  the eigenfrequency for the  $j$ th branch corresponding to the wave vector  $\mathbf{q}$ .  $2\theta$  is the scattering angle and  $\lambda$  is the wavelength of the radiation.

The eigen frequencies and corresponding eigenvectors for 8000 points in the Brillouin zone were computed using the shell-model parameters giving best fit to the neutron results. These are the parameters of Model *B* in paper of Sangster, Peckham & Saunderson, (1970). These eigen frequencies and eigenvectors were then used to calculate the  $B_{\kappa}$  values for magnesium and oxygen ions. The contri-

bution from acoustic modes at the zone centre was taken into account by converting the summation into an integration over the volume  $1/8000$  of Brillouin zone along the lines of Buyers & Smith (1968) and assuming a Debye approximation over the volume of integration. This contribution was found to be less than 1% of the total value.

The calculated values at 300°K and experimental values are given in Table 1.

Table 1. *The B values for magnesium and oxygen ions*

	<i>B</i> (magnesium) in Å <sup>2</sup>	<i>B</i> (oxygen) in Å <sup>2</sup>
Theoretical values	0.30	0.33
Experimental values (Lawrence, 1973)	0.31 ± 0.01	0.34 ± 0.02
Experimental values (Togawa 1965)	0.24	0.19

Our theoretical values are in close agreement with the latest measurements of Lawrence (1973). Thus our calculations favour the recent measurements of Lawrence on a single-crystal specimen as against the measurement of Togawa on powder samples. The calculated results also show that the anharmonic contribution is negligibly small for this crystal at this temperature.

Thanks are due to Professor Dr W. Ludwig for providing facilities and encouragement.

#### References

- BUYERS, W. J. L. & SMITH, J. (1968). *J. Phys. Chem. Solids*, **29**, 1051–1055.  
 LAWRENCE, J. L. (1973). *Acta Cryst.* A29, 94–95.  
 SANGSTER, M. J. L., PECKHAM, G. & SAUNDERSON, D. H. (1970). *J. Phys. C. Solid State Phys.* **3**, 1026–1036.  
 TOGAWA, S. (1965). *J. Phys. Soc. Japan*, **20**, 742–752.

\* On leave from, Physics Department, University of Jodhpur, India.

† On leave from, Physics Department, Madurai University, India.

*Acta Cryst.* (1973). A29, 579

**Precession photography: an extrapolation method for more accurate cell dimensions.** By M. RIEDER, *Institute of Geological Sciences, Charles University, Albertov 6, 12843 Prague 2, Czechoslovakia*

(Received 16 February 1973; accepted 8 April 1973)

Shifts of reflexions caused by absorption of X-rays lead to systematic errors in cell dimensions. The effect is eliminated by an extrapolation procedure that affords an accuracy of about 0.1%. It can be used only if enough reciprocal rows are recorded.

One source of inaccuracy in cell dimensions is the shift of reflexions from their ideal positions caused by absorption of X-rays in the crystal. Although measurable in most

photographs, the effect is best visible on reflexions with a blind spot in the centre: low-angle reflexions have a clear outline, higher-angle reflexions have a markedly stronger